

# 14. Infrastructure

# 14.1 Summary

- 14.1.1 This chapter addresses the potential impact of the Proposed Development on Telecommunication, Utility infrastructure, Aviation and Radar situated in the vicinity of Lochluichart Wind Farm Extension II (hereafter known as 'Proposed Development').
- 14.1.2 Consultation has been carried out with organisations who own or operate infrastructure close to the proposed wind farm site. The results have shown that there is electricity utility infrastructure within the site boundary, and that *micro-siting/embedded mitigation* in the design of the scheme was required.
- 14.1.3 There are no microwave links which will be impacted by the Proposed Development
- 14.1.4 Based on the consultation process, and mitigation applied where required, the Proposed Development is predicted to have no effects on infrastructure.

#### 14.2 Introduction and overview

- 14.2.1 The Applicant received a planning permission for Lochluichart Wind Farm Extension II, a 5-turbine scheme, together with associated infrastructure, on 1st July 2020 from THC (hereafter referred to as the 'Consented Development' (THC Ref: 19/01284/FUL).
- 14.2.2 This section considers the potential effects of the Proposed Development (including the provision of micro-siting the wind turbines and related infrastructure by +/-50m) on infrastructure, telecommunications, utilities, aviation and radar. These matters have been investigated through consultation with the relevant system operators and accounted for in the iterative wind farm design process.
- 14.2.3 This design process ensures that wherever possible, turbines are located in areas where there would be no effects on infrastructure, telecommunications, utilities, aviation and radar interests. Where this is not possible, agreement is reached with the providers of such services for alternative arrangements to be made so that these services will not be affected by the wind farm.

# 14.3 Methodology

#### Assessment scope and approach

- 14.3.1 The infrastructure, telecommunications, utilities, aviation and radar assessment is largely based on consultation by the Applicant with a number of organisations known to have an interest in telecommunications, utilities, aviation and radar infrastructure in the area.
- 14.3.2 The Applicant undertook a consultation exercise for the Consented Development during 2017/2018 (Infinergy, 2019), and received 'no Objection' from infrastructure related consultees.



- 14.3.3 During 2020, the Applicant undertook a desk-based consultation exercise for the Proposed Development to establish the baseline relating to infrastructure owned or managed by the following organisations:
  - Joint Radio Company Limited (JRC);
  - · Ofcom (Spectrum licensing);
  - Ericsson (on behalf of EE Limited);
  - · Vodafone;
  - · Telefonica UK Limited;
  - Atkins;
  - British Telecom;
  - Scottish and Southern Energy; and
  - Scottish Water.

#### 14.4 Baseline information

#### Infrastructure

- 14.4.1. An enquiry was entered into the Linesearchbeforeudig facility which "provides a single point of contact for all initial enquiries relating to the apparatus owned and/or operated by our Members, which now totals over 30,000 kms of assets such as buried underground transmission gas/oil pipelines and fibre optic cable ducts". The results of this enquiry showed that the proposed wind farm was not in the zone of interest for any of the Linesearch members which includes National Grid gas and electricity transmission networks (not including local high-pressure gas pipelines).
- 14.4.2. Scottish and Southern Energy (SSE) provides an online mapping service which provides details of low voltage and high voltage underground electricity cables and overhead lines along with associated infrastructure. This service was utilised to check if any electrical plant or infrastructure is present in the vicinity of the Proposed Development and if any mitigation measures will be required.
- 14.4.3. Consultation with the SSE online mapping service showed that there is SSE infrastructure present within the site boundary and in close proximity to the proposed wind turbines.
- 14.4.4. The location of this infrastructure was identified and mapped during the design process for the Proposed Development.

#### **Telecommunications**

- 14.4.5. Extensive desk-based consultations revealed that there are no microwave links which could be potentially affects by the Proposed Development.
- 14.4.6. A response was received on 21st October 2020 stating that JRC:

"We cleared this application for you within ticket WF5637095, I can confirm no change to that reply."



# **Summary**

# 15.4.7 **Table 15.1** below provides a summary of initial responses.

Table 15.1 Consultees Relevant to this Assessment

Consultee	Response	Objection	
Telecommunications			
ВТ	Studied the proposed wind farm (21/10/20) and concluded that the wind farm should not cause interference to BT's current and presently planned radio networks.	No	
Ofcom (Spectrum Licensing)	Identified one microwave link within 3 km radius of the centre of the proposed wind farm, operated by SSE plc. They provided contact details and recommended contacting the fixed link operator.	No	
Atkins Ltd.	Examined the proposal (31/01/21) with respect to UHF Radio Scanning Telemetry by our client (excluding Scottish Water) and concluded that they have no objection to the proposed development.	No	
JRC	Application cleared (21/10/20).	No	
Vodafone	For the Consented Development (Infinergy, 2019) it was confirmed that they have no microwave links in the area that would be affected by the proposed development.	No	
Ericsson	For the Consented Development (Infinergy, 2019) it was confirmed that they have no microwave links in the area that would be affected by the proposed development.	No	
Telefonica	For the Consented Development (Infinergy, 2019) it was Confirmed that they have no microwave links in the area that would be affected by the proposed development.	No	
Infrastructure			
Linesearch	For the Consented Development (Infinergy, 2019) details of the proposal were entered into <a href="www.linesearch.org">www.linesearch.org</a> , based on formal response and no response from listed members, no assets in proximity to the Proposed Development.	No	
Scotland Gas Networks	For the Consented Development indicated that there are no SGN gas mains within the vicinity of the proposed wind farm.	No	
Scottish Water	26/10/20 stated: "No objection to the planning application".	No	
Scottish and Southern Energy	For the Consented Development accessed online mapping facility at: http://north.sse-mapping.net/ngis/street_works.html	N/A	
	Results showed that there are high voltage underground cables in the vicinity of the proposed development, relating to the Lochluichart Wind Farm. By embedding mitigation into the design of the Proposed Development, no objections are anticipated.		
Aviation & Radar			
MoD	Stated (29/10/20) "It has been identified that this development will have the following impactson Military Low Flying Training."	Unlikely.	
HIAL	Response 14/01/21 stated "I can now confirm that the above development, in its current configuration, would not impact the Inverness Airport Primary Surveillance Radar."	No	

Infrastructure March 2021

Volume 1: Written Statement



Consultee	Response	Objection
NATS/NERL	Stated (27/10/20)"The Proposed Development has been examined from a safeguarding aspect and does not conflict with safeguarding criteria no sageguarding objection to the proposal."	No

### **Predicted Trends in the Absence of Development**

15.4.8 On the basis of consultations undertaken, no details of additional planned infrastructure, telecommunications, utilities, aviation and radar developments in the vicinity of the development site have been received. If the Proposed Development was consented and built, any future developments would be required to take account of the presence of wind turbines in their implementation.

## **Information gaps**

- 15.4.9 In some cases, service providers have not responded to the consultations sent out by the Applicant. Generally, where consultees do not respond, it is presumed their services are unaffected by the proposed development.
- 15.4.10 There is the possibility that the consultation process has not picked up some services. However, the process has however been as inclusive as possible.

# 14.5 Topic specific design evolution

# Scheme layout response to potentially significant effects

- 14.5.1 Consultation indicates that there is electricity infrastructure within or in close proximity to the site that requires mitigation of the Proposed Development layout.
- 14.5.2 There is a 33kV powerline which runs located in cable trenches which run alongside the existing access tracks for the Operational Schemes.
- 14.5.3 The turbine and related site infrastructure has involved embedded mitigation to avoid any potential impacts on this infrastructure, and is located at its nearest point, from Turbine T4, approximately 170m from the 33kV powerline.
- 14.5.4 Consultation indicates there is no gas or water infrastructure located in within or in close proximity to the site that requires alteration of the Proposed Development layout.
- 14.5.5 A micro-siting provision has been requested as part of the planning application for the Proposed Development whereby any wind turbine and related infrastructure can be moved by up to 50m, taking into consideration known environmental and technical constraints. This provision will be used to respond to any additional unforeseen infrastructure constraint.
- 14.5.6 Consultation indicates there are no microwave links located in close proximity to the Proposed Development that require alteration of the Proposed Development.



- 14.5.7 Consultation with MoD indicates they "...may have concerns." about the Proposed Development. Based on the MoD responses to the Operational Schemes, it is anticipated that the MoD will not object to the Proposed Development
- 14.5.8 HIAL were not forthcoming in their response to the Proposed Development, despite providing in March 2018 the additional information requested in their scoping response in the form of a Line of Sight report (see Appendix 15A).
- 14.5.9 It is not expected, based on the HIAL responses to the Operational Schemes through the planning process, that HIAL will object to the Proposed Development.

#### 14.6 Predicted effects of the scheme

- 14.6.1 With the exception of the 33kV SSE infrastructure, there has been no infrastructure identified within or in close proximity to the proposed development, there are no predicted effects of the scheme.
- 14.6.2 As there are no fixed microwave links in proximity to the Proposed Development, therefore there are no predicted effect of the scheme on microwave links.

## 14.7 Mitigation and enhancement measures

- 14.7.1 As a result of the location of the 33kV SSE infrastructure, mitigation was embedded into the design layout for the Proposed Development to avoid any impacts.
- 14.7.2 No other mitigation is required since there are is no other infrastructure present within the Proposed Development boundary.

#### 14.8 Assessment of residual effects

#### **During construction**

14.8.1 There are no microwave links in the vicinity of the proposed wind farm, therefore there are no predicted residual effects.

#### **During Operation**

14.8.2 There are no microwave links in the vicinity of the proposed wind farm, therefore there are no predicted residual effects.

#### Effects during decommissioning

14.8.3 There are no predicted residual effects on infrastructure, telecommunications, utilities, aviation and radar during decommissioning of the proposed wind development.

#### **Cumulative effects**

14.8.4 All potential effects in respect of telecommunications, infrastructure, utilities, aviation and radar are anticipated to have been mitigated therefore no cumulative effects will arise.



# References

Linesearchbeforeudig online: www.linesearchbeforeudig.co.uk, last accessed 25th June 2018.

SSE online mapping facility: <a href="http://north.sse-mapping.net/ngis/street">http://north.sse-mapping.net/ngis/street</a> works.html, last accessed 3rd September 2018.

Infrastructure March 2021

Volume 1: Written Statement